

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	2
II. SCOPE OF REPORT. . . . .	4
III. FINDINGS . . . . .	7
A. The Independent Counsel Concluded That There Was No Substantial Evidence of a Conspiracy Involving Senior White House Officials or Mrs. Clinton to Obtain Confidential Background Reports on Former Republican White House Staff . . . .	8
B. Mr. Marceca Did Not Knowingly Make False Statements to the FBI When He Requested the Background Reports of Former White House Staff Who No Longer Required Access . . . .	11
C. Although Portions of Mr. Marceca's Testimony Before Congress Were False and Misleading, the Independent Counsel Concluded That Prosecution Was Unwarranted.	16
IV. BACKGROUND . . . . .	18
A. Congress Investigated Mr. Marceca's Request for the Confidential FBI Background Report of Fired White House Travel Office Director Billy Ray Dale . . .	18
B. The Federal Bureau of Investigation Conducted an Internal Investigation of its Role in Providing Background Reports to Mr. Marceca . . . . .	23
1. The FBI Has Historically Provided the White House and Others with Confidential Background Reports for Certain Specific Purposes. . . . .	23